UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,114	05/10/2007	Chul-Sik Yoon	1403-02 PCT US	5012
	7590 04/22/201 L LAW FIRM, P.C .	EXAMINER		
290 Broadhollo		BATISTA, MARCOS		
Suite 210E Melville, NY 1	1747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/22/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/578,114	YOON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MARCOS BATISTA	2617		

	WALLES BALLETA	2017	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 April 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailir	-		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office	te extension fee action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ⁻ ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			2701.004)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (F	°TOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowand	e because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). 13. ☐ Other: 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In response to Applicant's argument, Eklund, at the following citations, discloses:

Page 102 column 1 line 65 - Page 103 column 2 line 26:

"Burst profiles for the downlink are each tagged with a Downlink Interval Usage Code (DIUC). Those for the uplink are each tagged with an Uplink Interval Usage Code(UIUC).

During initial access, the SS performs initial power leveling and ranging using ranging request (RNG-REQ) messages transmitted in initial maintenance windows. The adjustments to the SS's transmit time advance, as well as power adjustments, are returned to the SS in ranging response (RNG-RSP) messages. For ongoing ranging and power adjustments, the BS may transmit unsolicited RNG-RSP messages commanding the SS to adjust its power or timing.

During initial ranging, the SS also requests to be served in the downlink via a particular burst profile by transmitting its choice of DIUC to the BS. The choice is based on received downlink signal quality measurements performed by the SS before and during initial ranging. The BS may confirm or reject the choice in the ranging response. Similarly, the BS monitors the quality of the uplink signal it receives from the SS. The BS commands the SS to use a particular uplink burst profile simply by including the appropriate burst profile UIUC with the SS's grants in ULMAP messages."

Page 106 column 1 lines 35-49:

"Based on the arrival time of the initial ranging request and the measured power of the signal, the BS commands a timing advance and a power adjustment to the SS in the ranging response. The response also provides the SS with the basic and primary management CIDs. Once the timing advance of the SS transmissions has been correctly determined, the ranging procedure for fine-tuning the power can be performed using invited transmissions."

As can be seen in the cited portions above, Eklund discloses transmitting state control (The adjustments to the SS's transmit time advance, as well as power adjustments, are returned to the SS in ranging response (RNG-RSP) messages) information based on the subscriber channel state (Based on... and the measured power of the signal). The measured power of the signal is used to determine the state of the channel. Eklund also discloses that during initial ranging, the SS also requests to be served in the downlink via a particular burst profile by transmitting its choice of DIUC to the BS. The SS and the BS exchanges state control and bandwidth request as part of an initial access request from the SS to the BS. And, in figure 2, the initial access is part of an uplink subframe structure that includes a bandwidth request. Eklund clearly discloses receiving channel state control from the base station in response to bandwidth request code, which is all part of the initial access request as shown in figure 2.